Yermo School
School Accountability Report Card
Reported Using Data from the 2017-18 School Year
Published During 2018-19

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.

- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.

- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest
DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access
Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2018-19)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Yermo School
Street	38280 Gleason St.
City, State, Zip	Yermo, CA 92398
Phone Number	(760) 254-2931
Principal	Katherine Henson
E-mail Address	khenson@svusdk12.net
Web Site	http://silvervalley.k12.ca.us/yermo/
CDS Code	36-73890-6035455

District Contact Information	
District Name	Silver Valley Unified School District
Phone Number	(760) 254-2916
Superintendent	Jesse M. Najera
E-mail Address	jnajera@svusdk12.net
Web Site	www.svusdk12.net

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018-19)

Principal's Message

Hello families of Yermo School students. The purpose of the School Accountability Report card is to provide parents and community members with information about Yermo School's instructional programs, academic achievement, materials and facilities, and the staff.

We have made a commitment to provide the best educational program possible for our students. The outstanding quality of our school is a reflection of our highly committed staff. We are dedicated to ensuring that our school provides a welcoming and stimulating environment where students are actively involved in learning academics, as well as positive values. Through our hard work, together, our students will be challenged to reach their maximum potential.

Parents and community play a very important role in our schools. Understanding our educational program, student achievement, and curriculum development can assist both our school and the community in ongoing improvement.

Yermo School Mission

Yermo School students will achieve at their maximum potential in a safe, engaging, inspiring, and challenging learning environment. Yermo School will work in partnership with students, families and the community to ensure that each student acquires the knowledge, 21st Century Learning skills and core values necessary to achieve personal success and to enrich the community.

Community & School Profile

Located in the rural high desert of Southern California, 126 miles from Los Angeles and 144 miles from Las Vegas, Silver Valley Unified School District educates nearly 2,200 students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve. Covering an area of 3,200 square miles, the district serves the communities of Calico, Daggett, Fort Irwin, Ludlow, Newberry Springs, and Yermo.

Yermo School is located in the Mojave Desert off of Interstate 15. Yermo School serves approximately 380 Transitional Kindergarten through eighth grade students on a traditional calendar schedule..

The district is comprised of seven school sites including four elementary schools, one middle school, one comprehensive high school, and an alternative education center.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017-18)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	47
Grade 1	39
Grade 2	40
Grade 3	33
Grade 4	34
Grade 5	41
Grade 6	55
Grade 7	40
Grade 8	51
Total Enrollment	380

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	5.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3
Asian	0.5
Filipino	0.5
Hispanic or Latino	45.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.5
White	41.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	76.6
English Learners	3.7
Students with Disabilities	20.0
Foster Youth	1.6

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):
- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2016-17	**2017-18**	**2018-19**	**2018-19**
With Full Credential	22	17.5	22	102
Without Full Credential	0	3	4	23
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2018

Pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California, Silver Valley Unified School District thoroughly inspected each of its school sites at the start of the 2018-19 school year to determine whether or not each school had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, and/or science laboratory equipment. The date of the most recent resolution of the sufficiency of textbooks is September 11, 2018.

All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual textbooks and/or instructional materials in core subjects, as well as, foreign language and health (as appropriate), for use in the classroom and to take home if necessary. Additionally, all textbooks and instructional materials used within the district must be aligned with the California State Content Standards and frameworks, with final approval by the Board of Education. The table displays information collected in August 2018 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Collections Adopted 2018 Pearson Adopted 2010 McGraw Hill Adopted 2017	Yes	0%
Mathematics	Pearson Adopted 2018 Pearson Adopted 2015	Yes	0%
Science	Glencoe/McGraw Hill Adopted 2007 Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2007	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2006 Glencoe/McGraw Adopted 2006	Yes	0%
Health	Glencoe/McGraw Hill Adopted 2006 Glencoe/McGraw Hill Adopted 2010	Yes	0%

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Yermo School was originally constructed in 1949 and fully modernized in 1994. The campus is situated on 19.6 acres and comprised of 27 classrooms, a library, a multipurpose room, a staff lounge, an office building, and two playgrounds.

Cleaning Process

The school provides a safe and clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. Basic cleaning operations are performed on a daily basis throughout the school year with emphasis on classrooms and restrooms. A joint effort between students and staff helps keep the campus clean and litter-free. The principal and director of Maintenance and Operations both work with the custodial staff to develop sanitation schedules that ensure a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

Maintenance & Repair

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the District's maintenance staff on a regular basis, with heavy maintenance functions occurring during vacation periods. Additionally, a scheduled maintenance program is administered by Silver Valley Unified School District, including regular facilities inspections, to ensure that school grounds and facilities remain in excellent repair. A work order process is used when issues arise that require immediate attention.

Repair requests are completed efficiently and in the order in which they are received. The chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection, provided by the district.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:
- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: DEC 2018		
System Inspected	**Repair Status**	**Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned**
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Fair	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	Need some paint on some walls

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: DEC 2018	
Overall Rating	**Good**

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

• **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and

• The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	39.0	42.0	48.0	53.0	48.0	50.0
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	37.0	35.0	39.0	39.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	266	263	98.87	42.21
Male	132	130	98.48	33.08
Female	134	133	99.25	51.13
Black or African American	14	14	100.00	35.71
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	124	124	100.00	37.10
White	110	108	98.18	50.93
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	210	208	99.05	35.10
English Learners	20	20	100.00	25.00
Students with Disabilities	56	54	96.43	11.11
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	267	263	98.5	34.6
Male	133	131	98.5	29.01
Female	134	132	98.51	40.15
Black or African American	14	14	100	28.57
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	124	124	100	31.45
White	110	107	97.27	42.99
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	210	207	98.57	29.47
English Learners	21	21	100	28.57
Students with Disabilities	56	54	96.43	7.41
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Subject	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2017-18)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	**Five of Six Standards**	**Six of Six Standards**
5	28.6	32.7	22.4
7	21.6	24.3	35.1

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Parents are encouraged to undertake an active role in their child's education. Parents are welcome to volunteer in the classrooms, chaperone school events and field trips, and participate in the Parent Teacher Organization (PTO). Parents also have a chance to affect school policy through participation in the School Site Council (SSC).

Volunteer packets are available in the Yermo School Office. For more information for volunteer opportunities at the school, you can contact the office at 760-254-2931. You can reach the PTO at YermoPTO@gmail.com.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

• Pupil suspension rates;
• Pupil expulsion rates; and
• Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2015-16	**2016-17**	**2017-18**	**2015-16**	**2016-17**	**2017-18**	**2015-16**	**2016-17**	**2017-18**
Suspensions	5.1	4.9	4.6	2.2	3.2	3.0	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2018-19)

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Yermo School. All guests to the campus must sign in at the office and wear a visitor's badge at all times. Supervision is provided to ensure the safety of each student before school, during breaks, at lunch, and after school. Supervision is a responsibility shared among all staff including noon-duty aides, teachers, support staff, and school administration.

To safeguard the well-being of students and staff, Comprehensive School Site Safety Plans have been developed for each site by the district. The Safety Plans were most recently updated in March 2018, with all revisions reviewed immediately with the staff. Key elements of the Safety Plan focus on the following: school rules and procedures, disaster procedures, current status of school crime, notification to teachers, child abuse reporting procedures, school-wide dress code, routine and emergency drills, sexual harassment policy, policies related to suspension and expulsion, maintaining a safe and orderly school environment, safe ingress and egress of pupils, parents, and school employees.

The school is always in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Safety drills, including fire, earthquake and intruder drills, are held regularly.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2015-16				2016-17				2017-18			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	72	2		2	108	1	2	2	74	2	1	1
1	24		1		28		1		24		1	
2	23		1		17	2			20	2		
3	23		2		18	1	1		30		1	
4	25		1		30		1		31		1	
5	12	2	1		20	1		1	37	3		1
6	19	7	6		18	15	2		25	3	20	
Other	18	1	1						9	1		

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).
** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017-18)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	.5	380
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1	N/A
Psychologist	.25	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	.15	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	$5,063	$99	$4,964	$71,368
District	N/A	N/A	$3,230	$68,240
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	42.3	4.5
State	N/A	N/A	$7,125	$71,392
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-35.8	0.0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

In addition to general state funding, Silver Valley Unified School District received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:
- Title I, Part A, Educationally Disadvantaged Children
- Title II, Part A, Teacher/Principal Training and Recruiting

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	$45,230	$45,681
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	$68,912	$70,601
Highest Teacher Salary	$93,514	$89,337
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	$106,486	$110,053
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	$108,075	$115,224
Average Principal Salary (High)	$115,272	$124,876
Superintendent Salary	$173,400	$182,466
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	30.0	33.0
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6.0	6.0

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

A constructive evaluation process promotes quality instruction and is a fundamental element of a sound educational program. Evaluations and formal observations are designed to encourage common goals and comply with the state's evaluation criteria and district policies. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated twice a year and tenured teachers are evaluated every other year.

Evaluations are conducted by the principal, who has been trained and certified for competency to perform teacher evaluations. Evaluations are based on the "California Standards for the Teaching Profession" which include the following: engaging and supporting all students in learning, understanding and organizing subject matter for student learning, assessing student learning, creating and maintaining effective environments for student learning, planning instruction and designing learning experiences for all students, and developing as a professional educator.

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participation in conferences and workshops throughout the year. Teachers are offered a broad-based variety of professional growth opportunities in curriculum, teaching strategies, and methodologies. Topics are chosen that support the district's LCAP and are based on both student and teacher need and by using achievement data. Professional development is offered during regular PLCs, through conferences (out of district), through the SELPA, and through individual mentoring.

2016-2017 staff development topics included: AVID summer institute, Pat Pavelka (ELA), Singapore Math training, Pearson enVision Math training, PBIS training, new SPED teacher training.

2017-2018 staff development topics included: MobyMax training, Pat Pavelka (ELA), Next Generation Science Standards training, Singapore Math training, AVID Summer Institute, Building effective classroom training, PBIS training, new SPED teacher training.

2018-2019 staff development topics included: AVID Summer Institute, MTSS training, Pat Pavelka (ELA), Singapore Math Training, Alicia O'Neli (Math and Science), PBIS Training, CPI Certification, SPED teacher training.

For additional support in their profession, teachers may enlist the services of the district's Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA), now induction, support provider and/or the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) Program.