Philosophy, Goals, Objectives and Comprehensive Plans **Silver Valley Unified School District POLICY 0500: ACCOUNTABILITY**

**Original Adoption:** 6/1989

**Revised**: 9/11/1995, 6/18/2001, 7/10/2006, 1/14/2014

The Governing Board recognizes its responsibility to ensure accountability to the public for the performance of district schools. The Board shall regularly review the effectiveness of the district's programs, personnel, and fiscal operations, with a focus on the district's effectiveness in improving student achievement. The Board shall establish processes and measures to monitor results and to evaluate progress toward accomplishing the district's vision and goals.

Indicators of district progress in improving student achievement shall include, but not be limited to, the state Academic Performance Index (API) and the measures of "adequate yearly progress" (AYP) required under the federal accountability system.

Alternative schools serving high-risk student populations, including continuation high schools, opportunity schools, and community day schools, shall be subject to an alternative accountability system established by the Superintendent of Public Instruction.

The district and each district school shall demonstrate comparable improvement in academic achievement, as measured by the API, for all numerically significant student subgroups. Numerically significant subgroups include ethnic subgroups, socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, students with disabilities, and foster youth, when the subgroup consists of at least 30 students with a valid test score or 15 foster youth.

The Superintendent shall provide regular reports to the Board and the public regarding district and school performance. Opportunities for feedback from students, parents/guardians, staff, and community members shall be made available as part of any review and evaluation of district programs and operations and as part of the development or annual update of the local control and accountability plan (LCAP).

Evaluation results may be used as a basis for revising district or school goals, updating the LCAP or other comprehensive plans, identifying and developing strategies to address disparities in achievement among student subgroups, implementing programmatic changes, determining the need for additional support or assistance, awarding incentives or rewards, and establishing other performance-based consequences.

Legal Reference:

**EDUCATION CODE**

33127-33129 Standards and criteria for fiscal accountability

33400-33407 CDE evaluation of district programs

44660-44665 Evaluation of certificated employees

51041 Evaluation of the educational program

52052-52052.1 Academic Performance Index

52055.57-52055.59 Districts identified or at risk of identification for program improvement

52060-52077 Local control and accountability plan

60640-60649 Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

**CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 5**

1068-1074 Alternative schools’ accountability model, assessments

15440-15463 Standards and criteria for fiscal accountability

**UNITED STATES CODE, TITLE 20**

6311 Accountability, adequate yearly progress

6312 Local educational agency plan

6316 School and district improvement

**CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, TITLE 34**

200.13-200.20 Adequate yearly progress

200.30-200.53 Program improvement

Management Resources:

**WEB SITES**

CSBA: http://www.csba.org

California Department of Education, Accountability: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac

U.S. Department of Education, http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac